UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,470	09/19/2006	Yasuhiro Miyamoto	Q97231	2760
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/10/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			KIM, JOHN K	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/593,470	MIYAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN K. KIM	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Sec 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of t	r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached Cines	7.00.011 01 101111 1 0 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/19/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuboi et al (US 2001/0048249).

As for claim 1, Tsuboi teaches (in Figs. 1-3) a moving-magnet-type linear slider (1) comprising: a linear guide (4) which movably supports and guides left and right sides of a table (3) arranged parallel with and opposite to a fixed base (2) wherein the linear guide includes a slider (6) and a guide rail (5); a linear motor which reciprocally moves the table (3) in a longitudinal direction over the guide rail (5) relative to the fixed base (2); and detecting means (14-16) for detecting a relative position of the table (3) and the fixed base (2); characterized in that the linear motor comprises an armature (10) having an multi-phase armature winding wire (12) wound on an armature core (11) serving as a magnetic circuit fixed on the fixed base (2), and a permanent magnet (13) for a magnetic field, the permanent magnet (13) being attached on the table (3) and arranged opposite to the armature (10) interposing a magnetic gap, the detecting means comprises a linear scale portion (15) fixed to the table (3), and a sensor head (16) which

Application/Control Number: 10/593,470 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

detects the linear scale (15), the a sensor head (16) being attached on a fixed base (2) side, and the armature (10) is arranged such that a thrust center axis where a thrust of the armature (10) is generated is substantially coincident with a center axis of a space between the left and right guide rails (5).

As for claim 3, Tsuboi teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above. Tsuboi further teaches (in Fig. 1) characterized in that the fixed base (2) is provided with a mounting hole (four mounting holes at each outer corners) for attaching the moving-magnet-type linear slider to an external apparatus, wherein the mounting hole is formed in a position outside or inside of the guide rail (5).

As for claim 4, Tsuboi teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above. Tsuboi further teaches (in Fig. 1) wherein the sensor head (16) includes a serial-signal conversion circuit which converts a scale signal of the linear motor output from the detecting means into a serial signal. [0022]

As for claim 7, except claim dependency, claim 7 contains the same limitation as claim 4 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/593,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi et al (US 2001/0048249).

Tsuboi teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above. Tsuboi further teaches (in Figs. 3-4) the moving-magnet-type linear slider characterized in that the moving-magnet-type linear slider (1) further comprises: a magnetic-pole detector (34) which detects a relative position of the armature (10) and the permanent magnet (13) for a magnetic field arranged on an opposite side of the linear scale (15), wherein the magnetic-pole detector (34) includes a hall element (34) fixed on the fixed base side.

Regards a magnetic-pole detector fixed on table side to correspond with the magnetic-pole detector includes a hall element fixed on the base side, Tsuboi suggests (in Figs. 3-8) using the permanent magnet for a magnetic field instead of a magnetic-

pole detector fixed on the table side so as to have an equal pitch as the permanent magnet for a magnetic field.

Page 5

Tsuboi, however, further teaches (in Fig. 15 as AAPA) a magnetic-pole detector (95), a corresponding element to sensor head (96; implicitly a Hall-effect device), fixed on the table side so as to have a fine pitch as the permanent magnet for a magnetic field. [0012] Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the hall element magnetic-pole detector and a magnetic-pole detector (magnetic scale) on opposite end of linear scale to avoid influence from stator magnetic field.

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi et al (US 2001/0048249) in view of Jong et al (2002 IEEE publication, "Smart Silicon Sensor – Example of Hall Effect Sensors").

As for claim 5, Tsuboi teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 4 above. Tsuboi further teaches [0057] combining with control system including personal computers, sequencers and drivers, which implies capability that the motor parameter is also converted into a serial signal by the serial-signal conversion circuit, and the serial signal is transmitted to the driver.

Tsuboi, however, failed to teach or suggest the sensor head has a memory into which a motor parameter of the linear motor is input.

In the same field of endeavor, Jong teaches (in Fig. 1) the sensor head has a memory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Jong with that of Tsuboi for compact built-in scale converter by programmable sensor.

As for claim 8, except claim dependency, claim 8 contains the same limitation as claim 5 and is rejected for the same reason set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 5 above.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi et al (US 2001/0048249) in view of Yagoto et al (US 5801462).

Tsuboi teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above. Tsuboi further teaches (in Fig. 1) the linear scale mounts thereon an optical encoder (14), which commonly indicates an absolute type encoder and absolute type encoder is most popularly used, which detects a position signal of the linear-motor mover [0022], but failed to explicitly teaches it is an absolute-type encoder.

In the same field of endeavor, Yagoto teaches use of absolute-type encoder for sensing the position of slider. [col. 9, line 13-27] Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Yagoto with that of Tsuboi for simpler computation and comparison of position signal.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The examiner additionally cites prior art of Kondo et al (US 5825104) for teaching of similar linear slider and that of Mazure-Espejo et al (US 6414300) for memory embedded Hall sensor design.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN K. KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-5072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JK

/Darren Schuberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2834